

Is the motor insurance industry headed down the same path as healthcare?

Description

The same warning signs that led the state of our healthcare insurance to what it is today has also just appeared in the motor insurance industry. What's going to happen next?

	Health insurers	Motor insurers
Underwriting losses in 2017	\$28 million	\$27 million
First underwriting loss since	2012 <i>(excludes Aviva, which had underwriting losses since 2010)</i>	2010
Rising claims	42% to 84% <i>(claim ratios)</i>	Highest since 2008

If you recall, earlier this month, it was announced that patients with new Integrated Shield Plan (ISP) riders will no longer be able to enjoy zero co-payment of their hospitalisation bills. [A 5% co-payment will now be imposed \(capped at \\$3,000 annually\) to address the issue of over-consumption of medical services.](#)

Between [2005 – 2016, the industry's claims ratios surged from 42% to 84%](#). These rising claims have prompted hikes in ISP and rider premiums, but that didn't help the insurers from still making underwriting losses.

Q: How did this come about?

A: **Rising claims + underwriting losses.**

For many years, riders on top of their healthcare insurance policy (which would allow one to not fork out a single cent for hospitalization) were highly popular among Singaporeans, with 1 in 3 Singaporeans opting to take up a rider.

But in the last 2 years, ISP premiums had risen by up to 80%, with older policyholders and those on private hospitalisation plans experiencing higher increase. Yours truly was one of them. One of the causes identified by Health Minister Chee Hong Tat was that [the zero co-payment feature of these full riders had resulted in a "buffet syndrome", which led to over-consumption and over-charging of healthcare services.](#)

He pointed out that the average medical bill size for full-rider policyholders was about 60% higher than

for those without riders, even though the former group are generally younger and in better health.

Were there warning signs that this was coming?

Yes. Frankly, I wasn't surprised by the news. That's because after having seen how all the healthcare insurers suffered from underwriting losses in 2016, and coupled with the rise in healthcare costs, I knew this move was only a matter of time.

[Source](#)

Faint pulse

The industry chalked up underwriting losses ranging from S\$7.3 million to almost \$30 million, with AIA and NTUC Income suffering the biggest hits. Even AXA, which only started selling ISPs and riders in the second half of 2016, suffered a considerable loss.

Now, there's no point in crying over split milk, which is why I haven't really bothered writing a commentary about the state of the healthcare insurance industry (mainly because I expected it) until today...because I just spotted a glaring similarity in another insurance segment:

YEAR	AIA	AVIVA	AXA	GREAT EASTERN	INCOME	PRUDENTIAL
2005	55.9	-0.1	-	2.8	14.6	0.2
2006	66.5	5.2	-	5.2	18.3	0.6
2007	39.9	4.8	-	6.6	-15.7	6.6

Is the motor insurance industry headed down the same slippery slope?

We already know by now that the healthcare insurers got to this state because of rising claims and underwriting losses. But why aren't we talking about how [the same warning signs have now appeared in the motor insurance industry?](#)

I quote:

2009	46.9	0.4	-	-2	6.2	2
2010	34.3	8.6	-	21.2	9.9	1.6
2011	5.8	-7.2	-	17.5	9.6	17.2
2012	11.6	-8.6	-	-6.2	-5.7	14.9
2013	41.8	-26.4	-	-0.04	-0.5	11.1
2014	142.1	5.2	-	2.0	1.0	4.2

Motor insurers in Singapore are expected to review the commercial viability of their business after **booking a combined underwriting loss of S\$27.2 million in 2017** compared to the previous year – **the segment's first underwriting deficit since 2010.**

*Income's 2008 spike in profit was distorted by a large reinsurance item, while AIA's was
The general insurance industry's 2017 full year results were announced by the General Insurance Association of Singapore (GIA) yesterday, revealed a 3.3% drop in gross motor premiums to S\$1.1 billion while **claims rose by 12%, representing an increase of S\$60 million.**

Loss ratios in motor jumped to 64.9%, the highest recorded by the industry in the last 5 years.

What does that mean? In other words, for every dollar of premium collected, 65 cents was paid as a claim. When you factor in operational overheads, distribution costs and commissions to agents for

selling the policy...what I'm seeing is that the motor insurers are hardly making any money.

This points to the high possibility that we may see a huge rise in our motor insurance premiums as well.

I'll end [with this excerpt by Lawrence Wong, Deputy Head of NUS here](#) as food for thought:

“IP riders were created as a way for insurance companies to boost their profits, and it is a rich irony that the insurers themselves, after years of enjoying the spoils, appealed to the Ministry of Health to make co-payment compulsory.”

“Yet the full-rider predicament could have been prevented if the starting point of insurers was to act more responsibly. Responsible competition is the name of the game. There was no need to fight tooth and nail for the last policyholder and win the battle, only to realise belatedly that fighting among themselves has cost them the war.

The insurers must be made culpable for their actions, which have brought us to this undesirable state of affairs. They should not attribute the problem to the demands of free markets. Nor should they have appealed for regulatory intervention when things went astray.

Their actions seem to suggest that insurers did not act in their own best interests.

By chasing the short-term profit, they effectively mortgaged away their own future.

Most gallingly, however, they took risks with our future.”

With love,
Budget Babe

Category

1. Insurance